I. Manuscript submission

The Rabel Journal publishes **original contributions** if they have successfully passed the internal **peer review process**. As a rule, that procedure takes between 3–6 weeks.

Articles are published in German, English or French, provided that they have not simultaneously been offered for publication elsewhere.

To facilitate a swift editorial processing, authors are kindly requested to comply with the following **guidelines**. The editorial office reserves the right to revise manuscripts accordingly.

A document template for submissions can be provided upon request (optional). Manuscripts must be sent as Word documents to:

rabelsz@mpipriv.de

II. Text design

Articles should generally comprise 20–30 printed pages, with each containing approx. **3,000 characters**, including spaces and footnotes.

An English **abstract** of no more than **1,250 characters** including spaces must be attached.

Grammar and spelling should be based on a current edition of a standard English dictionary.

Every essay should be structured by subheadings as follows: I., 1., a), (1), (a). A **Table of Contents** is to be placed in front of the main text.

The **formatting** should be plain (i.e. no bold print or spaced letters and also no underlining). Text mark-ups are produced by the editorial office.

Highlighting for the purposes of accentuation must be in italics. Foreign terms or phrases (i.e. those deviating from the manuscript language) should also be in italics. However, please do not italicize quotations or proper names as such.

Paragraphs containing **lengthy citations** or subordinate text passages (e.g. case descriptions) must be in small print. When quoting literally, always use quotation marks and indicate any omission or addition to the original text with square brackets. Provide the pertinent sources also for translations.

References within the text should ideally be made to the relevant section of the submission or to the text preceding or following a footnote number.

Footnotes are automatically counted, and they should be indicated by a superscript number after the punctuation mark. Acknowledgements and other general information are to be included in a special footnote * and attached to the Table of Contents.

III. Citation format in footnotes

The Rabel Journal is characterized by an international multi-lingual audience, requiring – in view of diverse national academic and legal styles and traditions – maximum readability and accuracy, not least when citing sources. Since there are no uniform international standards, this journal adopts the following citation scheme:

Initial citation. – For every source mentioned for the first time, the full names of all authors and editors must be provided. Only authors' names should be italicized. The main title of each source must be fully reproduced. All names and titles in non-Latin characters should be provided in the original; in such cases and also for all non-Western languages, English translations must be appended parenthetically. The place of publication is generally requested for pre-1900 books and may also be indicated in other instances to avoid doubts.

When citing *literature* of any sort, editorships or outline elements, such as text marginal numbers or paragraphs, must be given in the manuscript's language. Editions are provided by superscript numbers. The initial citation of contributions in collective volumes and journals must specify the full titles and page ranges. Indefinite pinpoint numbering ("ff.") is to be avoided. For journals, please use their standard abbreviations and follow their own recommended citation scheme. Archive journals and yearbooks require a volume number.

References with regard to the source, designation, and citation of *statutes*, *legislative materials and court decisions* should follow the practice in the country of origin. Common abbreviations of such sources are to be used in their original form. Concerning continental courts, the dates of the decisions must always be indicated.

Internet addresses are to be provided if the underlying source is or was exclusively available online; please indicate the retrieval dates. In other cases, references to printed material are preferred.

Subsequent citation. – All subsequent citations take an abbreviated form, usually accompanied by a cross-reference to the footnote of the initial citation:

As to *monographs* and *contributions in collective volumes and journals*, the author's surname is repeated, along with one or more keywords contained in the title and followed by a reference to the footnote with the initial (full) citation and to the specific passage(s) now being referred to.

With regard to *commentaries*, *encyclopaedias*, *handbooks etc.*, their common designation should be used instead of title keywords and should be placed before the author's name. If reference is made to a different contribution in or a different edition of a work already cited, this is to be regarded as an initial citation to which reference has to be made in subsequent citations.

In subsequent citations *court decisions* are also repeated in abbreviated form directing the reader to the footnote of initial citation.

With *statutes and other norms*, such cross-reference to the place of first citation is not necessary.

Footnotes should not comprise more than one-third of the text. The following examples illustrate the citation of various types of sources according to the Rabel Journal scheme (left column: initial citation, right column: subsequent citation).

1. Literature

a) Monographs, textbooks etc.

<i>Konrad Zweigert / Hein Kötz</i> , Einführung in die Rechtsvergleichung auf dem Gebiete des Privatrechts ³ (1996) 73	Zweigert / Kötz, Einführung (n. 1) 13–17
Петко Венедиков [Petko Venedikov], Ново вещно право ² [New Property Law] (2008) 55	Venedikov, New Property Law (n. 2) 34
b) Contributions in collective volumes, <i>Festschriften</i> etc.	
<i>Heribert Hirte</i> , "Inspire Art" und die Folgen für das europäische und das nationale Recht, in: Europäisierung des Handels- und Wirtschaftsrechts, ed. by Klaus J. Hopt / Dimitris Tzouganatos (2006) 3–49, 21	<i>Hirte</i> , Inspire Art (n. 3) 23–25, 31–38, 42
<i>Heike Schweitzer</i> , Handelsvertreterverträge im europäischen Wettbewerbsrecht, in: Hopt / Tzouganatos (n. 3) 195–219, 203	<i>Schweitzer</i> , Handelsvertreterverträge (n. 4) 204 n. 32 with further references
<i>Wilhelm Wengler</i> , Der Mythos von der lex fori, in: FS Max Rheinstein, vol. I (1969) 299–323, 301	Wengler, Mythos lex fori (n. 5) 303
c) Commentaries, handbooks, encyclopedias etc.	
<i>Dirk Looschelders</i> , in: Münchener Kommentar zum BGB ⁷ , Bd. XI (2018) Art. 14 EGBGB nos. 4, 7	MüKo BGB / Looschelders (n. 6) Art. 14 EGBGB no. 8
Stephanie Herzog, in: Staudinger, Kommentar zum BGB (2010) Einl. zu §§ 2353–2370 nos. 54–56	Staudinger/ <i>Herzog</i> (n. 7) Einl. zu §§ 2353–2370 BGB no. 52
<i>Christian Jung / Wolfgang Deselaers</i> , in: Grabitz / Hilf / Nettesheim, Recht der EU (looseleaf, March 2011) Art. 102 AEUV no. 3	Grabitz / Hilf / Nettesheim / Jung / Deselaers (n. 8) Art. 102 AEUV nos. 7–9
<i>Felix Maultzsch</i> , in: Beck-Online, Großkommentar zum Zivilrecht (1 December 2016) Art. 17 Rom II-VO nos. 4, 62	BeckOGK / Maultzsch (n. 9) Art. 17 Rom II-VO no. 5
Simon J. Whittaker, in: Chitty on Contracts ³¹ , vol. I (2012) no. 1-087	Chitty on Contracts / Whittaker (n. 10) no. 1-127
d) Journals, archive journals and yearbooks with volume number	
Nina Dethloff, Familienrecht in Europa – Quo vadis?, NJW 2018, 23–28, 23	Dethloff, Familienrecht in Europa (n. 11) 27
Axel Halfmeier, Nachhaltiges Privatrecht, AcP 216 (2016) 717-762, 721	Halfmeier, Nachhaltiges Privatrecht (n. 12) 750
Jamal Greene, Rights as Trumps?, 132 Harv.L.Rev. 28–132, 50 (2018)	Greene, Rights as Trumps? (n. 13) 50
Peter Stein, Elegance in Law, (1961) 77 LQR 242–256, 244	Stein, Elegance (n. 14) 244
<i>曹士兵</i> [Shibing Cao], 最高人民法院裁判、司法解释的法律地位 [The Legal Status of Decisions and Judicial Interpretations of the Supreme People's Court of China], 中国法学 [China Legal Science] 2006, no. 3, 175–199, 175	Cao, Legal Status (n. 16) 177
2. Internet sources, newspapers, legislative material etc.	
<i>Roderick J. Wood</i> , The Concept of a Security Interest: The Canadian Experience (2011), http://ssrn.com/Abstract=1912047 > (20 February 2020), p. 5	Wood, Concept of Security Interest (n. 17) 8
<i>BaFin</i> , Jahresbericht 2014, <https: 12410702="" dok="" www.bafin.de=""> (11 August 2020), p. 2</https:>	BaFin, Jahresbericht 2014 (n. 18) 15–17
Tanjev Schultz, Spurensuche im Graubereich, SZ of 16 February 2011, p. 12	Schultz, Spurensuche (n. 19) 12
<i>Deutscher Bundestag</i> , Entwurf eines Gesetzes zur Modernisierung des Schuldrechts, BT-Drs. 14/6040 of 14 May 2001, p. 35	Deutscher Bundestag, BT-Drs. 14/6040 (n. 20) 79
3. Court decisions	
	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4
3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1	
3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3 ^e 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4 Cass.civ. 3 ^e 18 March 2009 (n. 23)
3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64 Italy: Cass. 17 September 1997, no. 9260, Foro it. 1998, I, 1217, 1219	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4
3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64 Italy: Cass. 17 September 1997, no. 9260, Foro it. 1998, I, 1217, 1219 EU: CJEU 13 February 2014 – Case C-466/12 (<i>Nils Svensson a.o.</i>), ECLI:EU:C:2014:76, nos. 39–42	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4 Cass.civ. 3 ^e 18 March 2009 (n. 23)
3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64 Italy: Cass. 17 September 1997, no. 9260, Foro it. 1998, I, 1217, 1219 EU: CJEU 13 February 2014 – Case C-466/12 (<i>Nils Svensson a.o.</i>), ECLI:EU:C:2014:76, nos. 39–42 ECHR 26 June 2014 – 65192/11 (<i>Mennesson ./. France</i>), ECLI:CE:ECHR: 2014:0626JUD006519211, no. 114	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4 Cass.civ. 3 ^e 18 March 2009 (n. 23) Cass. 17 September 1997 (n. 24) 1217 CJEU 13 February 2014 – Svensson (n. 25) no. 43 ECHR 26 June 2014 – Mennesson (n. 26) no. 9
3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64 Italy: Cass. 17 September 1997, no. 9260, Foro it. 1998, I, 1217, 1219 EU: CJEU 13 February 2014 – Case C-466/12 (<i>Nils Svensson a.o.</i>), ECLI:EU:C:2014:76, nos. 39–42 ECHR 26 June 2014 – 65192/11 (<i>Mennesson ./. France</i>), ECLI:CE:ECHR: 2014:0626JUD006519211, no. 114 UK: Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Techni- cians, [1963] 2 QB 606 (CA)	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4 Cass.civ. 3 ^e 18 March 2009 (n. 23) Cass. 17 September 1997 (n. 24) 1217 CJEU 13 February 2014 – Svensson (n. 25) no. 43 ECHR 26 June 2014 – Mennesson (n. 26) no. 9 Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians (n. 27) 608
3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64 Italy: Cass. 17 September 1997, no. 9260, Foro it. 1998, I, 1217, 1219 EU: CJEU 13 February 2014 – Case C-466/12 (<i>Nils Svensson a.o.</i>), ECLI:EU:C:2014:76, nos. 39–42 ECHR 26 June 2014 – 65192/11 (<i>Mennesson ./. France</i>), ECLI:CE:ECHR: 2014:0626JUD006519211, no. 114 UK: Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Techni- cians, [1963] 2 QB 606 (CA) USA: Feinberg v. Auto Banking Corp., 353 F.Supp. 508 (E.D.Pa. 1973)	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4 Cass.civ. 3 ^e 18 March 2009 (n. 23) Cass. 17 September 1997 (n. 24) 1217 CJEU 13 February 2014 – Svensson (n. 25) no. 43 ECHR 26 June 2014 – Mennesson (n. 26) no. 9 Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television
 3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64 Italy: Cass. 17 September 1997, no. 9260, Foro it. 1998, I, 1217, 1219 EU: CJEU 13 February 2014 – Case C-466/12 (<i>Nils Svensson a.o.</i>), ECLI:EU:C:2014:76, nos. 39–42 ECHR 26 June 2014 – 65192/11 (<i>Mennesson ./. France</i>), ECLI:CE:ECHR: 2014:0626JUD006519211, no. 114 UK: Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians, [1963] 2 QB 606 (CA) USA: Feinberg v. Auto Banking Corp., 353 F.Supp. 508 (E.D.Pa. 1973) 4. Statutory law etc. 	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4 Cass.civ. 3 ^e 18 March 2009 (n. 23) Cass. 17 September 1997 (n. 24) 1217 CJEU 13 February 2014 – Svensson (n. 25) no. 43 ECHR 26 June 2014 – Mennesson (n. 26) no. 9 Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians (n. 27) 608
 3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64 Italy: Cass. 17 September 1997, no. 9260, Foro it. 1998, I, 1217, 1219 EU: CJEU 13 February 2014 – Case C-466/12 (<i>Nils Svensson a.o.</i>), ECLI:EU:C:2014:76, nos. 39–42 ECHR 26 June 2014 – 65192/11 (<i>Mennesson ./. France</i>), ECLI:CE:ECHR: 2014:0626JUD006519211, no. 114 UK: Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians, [1963] 2 QB 606 (CA) USA: Feinberg v. Auto Banking Corp., 353 F.Supp. 508 (E.D.Pa. 1973) 4. Statutory law etc. Germany: Gesetz über die Eingetragene Lebenspartnerschaft (Lebenspartnerschaftsgesetz – LPartG) of 16 February 2018, BGB1. 2018 I 266 	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4 Cass.civ. 3 ^e 18 March 2009 (n. 23) Cass. 17 September 1997 (n. 24) 1217 CJEU 13 February 2014 – Svensson (n. 25) no. 43 ECHR 26 June 2014 – Mennesson (n. 26) no. 9 Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians (n. 27) 608
 3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64 Italy: Cass. 17 September 1997, no. 9260, Foro it. 1998, I, 1217, 1219 EU: CJEU 13 February 2014 – Case C-466/12 (<i>Nils Svensson a.o.</i>), ECLI:EU:C:2014:76, nos. 39–42 ECHR 26 June 2014 – 65192/11 (<i>Mennesson ./. France</i>), ECLI:CE:ECHR: 2014:0626JUD006519211, no. 114 UK: Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians, [1963] 2 QB 606 (CA) USA: Feinberg v. Auto Banking Corp., 353 F.Supp. 508 (E.D.Pa. 1973) 4. Statutory law etc. Germany: Gesetz über die Eingetragene Lebenspartnerschaft (Lebenspartnerschaftsgesetz – LPartG) of 16 February 2018, BGBI. 2018 I 266 France: Art. 42 Loi n° 2007-308 portant réforme de la protection juridique des majeurs, J.O. no. 56 of 7 March 2007, p. 4325 	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4 Cass.civ. 3e 18 March 2009 (n. 23) Cass. 17 September 1997 (n. 24) 1217 CJEU 13 February 2014 – Svensson (n. 25) no. 43 ECHR 26 June 2014 – Mennesson (n. 26) no. 9 Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians (n. 27) 608 Feinberg v. Auto Banking Corp. (n. 28) 510
 3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64 Italy: Cass. 17 September 1997, no. 9260, Foro it. 1998, I, 1217, 1219 EU: CJEU 13 February 2014 – Case C-466/12 (<i>Nils Svensson a.o.</i>), ECLI:EU:C:2014:76, nos. 39–42 ECHR 26 June 2014 – 65192/11 (<i>Mennesson ./. France</i>), ECLI:CE:ECHR: 2014:0626JUD006519211, no. 114 UK: Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians, [1963] 2 QB 606 (CA) USA: Feinberg v. Auto Banking Corp., 353 F.Supp. 508 (E.D.Pa. 1973) 4. Statutory law etc. Germany: Gesetz über die Eingetragene Lebenspartnerschaft (Lebenspartnerschaftsgesetz – LPartG) of 16 February 2018, BGBI. 2018 I 266 France: Art. 42 Loi n° 2007-308 portant réforme de la protection juridique des majeurs, J.O. no. 56 of 7 March 2007, p. 4325 EU: Art. 4 para. 1 Regulation (EC) No 864/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 July 2007 on the law applicable to non-contractual obligations (Rome II), OJ 2007 L 199/40 	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4 Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009 (n. 23) Cass. 17 September 1997 (n. 24) 1217 CJEU 13 February 2014 – Svensson (n. 25) no. 43 ECHR 26 June 2014 – Mennesson (n. 26) no. 9 Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians (n. 27) 608 Feinberg v. Auto Banking Corp. (n. 28) 510 §§ 2, 3 para. 2 sent. 2 LPartG Arts. 43, 44 para. 2 subpara. 3 sent. 1 Loi nº 2007-308 Art. 6 para. 3 lit. a Rome II Regulation
 3. Court decisions Germany: BGH 26 March 2009 – I ZR 153/06, BGHZ 180, 344, no. 14 Switzerland: BGer. 4 August 2005, BGE 132 III 18 E. 4.1 France: Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009, no. 07-21260, Bull.civ. 2009, III, no. 64 Italy: Cass. 17 September 1997, no. 9260, Foro it. 1998, I, 1217, 1219 EU: CJEU 13 February 2014 – Case C-466/12 (<i>Nils Svensson a.o.</i>), ECLI:EU:C:2014:76, nos. 39–42 ECHR 26 June 2014 – 65192/11 (<i>Mennesson ./. France</i>), ECLI:CE:ECHR: 2014:0626JUD006519211, no. 114 UK: Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians, [1963] 2 QB 606 (CA) USA: Feinberg v. Auto Banking Corp., 353 F.Supp. 508 (E.D.Pa. 1973) 4. Statutory law etc. Germany: Gesetz über die Eingetragene Lebenspartnerschaft (Lebenspartnerschaftsgesetz – LPartG) of 16 February 2018, BGBI. 2018 I 266 France: Art. 42 Loi n° 2007-308 portant réforme de la protection juridique des majeurs, J.O. no. 56 of 7 March 2007, p. 4325 EU: Art. 4 para. 1 Regulation (EC) No 864/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 July 2007 on the law applicable to non-contractual 	BGH 26 March 2009 (n. 21) no. 4 BGer. 4 August 2005 (n. 22) E. 4.4 Cass.civ. 3° 18 March 2009 (n. 23) Cass. 17 September 1997 (n. 24) 1217 CJEU 13 February 2014 – Svensson (n. 25) no. 43 ECHR 26 June 2014 – Mennesson (n. 26) no. 9 Boulting v. Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians (n. 27) 608 Feinberg v. Auto Banking Corp. (n. 28) 510 §§ 2, 3 para. 2 sent. 2 LPartG Arts. 43, 44 para. 2 subpara. 3 sent. 1 Loi n° 2007-308